

Table 1

Comparison of SB13-213 and Existing School Finance Formula with Hypothetical Increase in Funding of \$915.3 Million, Incorporated via Higher Pupil Count and Reduced Negative

(Note: If SB13-213 was fully implemented in FY 2013-14, it was estimated to increase state funding by \$915.3 million, as reflected in the final fiscal note and Appendix B, Table 3B)

		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	
		Current Law FY 2013-14			SB13-213 Funding (Assuming full implementation in FY 2013-14 and no additional local support)			FY 2013-14 with Hypothetical \$915 Million Additional Funding to Existing Formula, Including 37,014 New Students			Difference in Funding Between Hypothetical Increase of \$915 Million vs SB13-213		
County	District	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program Current Law	Total Funding Per Pupil	Funded Pupil Count	Total Funding Assuming No New Local Support*	Total Funding Per Pupil	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program with \$915 million new funding	Total Funding Per Pupil	TOTAL FUNDING: Existing formula with \$915 million increase vs. SB13-213 [(h) - (e)]	PER PUPIL FUNDING: Existing formula with \$915 million increase vs SB13-213 [(i) - (f)]	
1	ADAMS	MAPLETON	7,778	\$52,486,226	\$6,748	8,176	\$64,415,292	\$7,879	8,176	\$61,569,398	\$7,531	(\$2,845,893)	(\$348)
2	ADAMS	ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR	44,138	\$285,232,629	\$6,462	45,864	\$327,447,353	\$7,139	45,864	\$331,592,546	\$7,230	\$4,145,192	\$90
3	ADAMS	COMMERCE CITY	7,680	\$54,188,198	\$7,056	8,297	\$71,227,375	\$8,585	8,297	\$64,965,213	\$7,830	(\$6,262,162)	(\$755)
4	ADAMS	BRIGHTON	16,820	\$107,479,373	\$6,390	17,697	\$126,079,939	\$7,124	17,697	\$126,486,904	\$7,147	\$406,965	\$23
5	ADAMS	BENNETT	1,011	\$6,943,547	\$6,868	1,024	\$7,541,925	\$7,367	1,024	\$7,856,421	\$7,675	\$314,496	\$307
6	ADAMS	STRASBURG	945	\$6,473,385	\$6,853	967	\$7,270,907	\$7,517	967	\$7,392,599	\$7,643	\$121,692	\$126
7	ADAMS	WESTMINSTER	12,743	\$88,093,900	\$6,913	13,405	\$112,489,589	\$8,391	13,405	\$103,276,653	\$7,704	(\$9,212,937)	(\$687)
8	ALAMOSA	ALAMOSA	2,087	\$13,724,851	\$6,577	2,229	\$18,000,916	\$8,077	2,229	\$16,208,812	\$7,273	(\$1,792,104)	(\$804)
9	ALAMOSA	SANGRE DE CRISTO	300	\$2,612,974	\$8,722	318	\$3,196,566	\$10,055	318	\$3,033,927	\$9,544	(\$162,639)	(\$512)
10	ARAPAHOE	ENGLEWOOD	2,795	\$19,118,541	\$6,840	2,985	\$22,676,083	\$7,598	2,985	\$22,720,152	\$7,612	\$44,069	\$15
11	ARAPAHOE	SHERIDAN	1,469	\$11,391,045	\$7,755	1,536	\$14,044,635	\$9,146	1,536	\$13,221,784	\$8,610	(\$822,851)	(\$536)
12	ARAPAHOE	CHERRY CREEK	51,160	\$336,451,064	\$6,576	52,922	\$371,299,634	\$7,016	52,922	\$389,582,713	\$7,361	\$18,283,080	\$345
13	ARAPAHOE	LITTLETON	14,855	\$95,039,900	\$6,398	15,302	\$107,360,677	\$7,016	15,302	\$109,617,760	\$7,164	\$2,257,083	\$148
14	ARAPAHOE	DEER TRAIL	169	\$2,066,874	\$12,230	179	\$2,283,927	\$12,788	179	\$2,394,037	\$13,404	\$110,109	\$617
15	ARAPAHOE	AURORA	37,813	\$262,152,839	\$6,933	40,133	\$325,214,703	\$8,104	40,133	\$310,098,963	\$7,727	(\$15,115,739)	(\$377)
16	ARAPAHOE	BYERS	512	\$3,783,645	\$7,384	532	\$4,221,131	\$7,939	532	\$4,383,636	\$8,245	\$162,505	\$306
17	ARCHULETA	ARCHULETA	1,417	\$9,544,251	\$6,736	1,448	\$11,231,788	\$7,755	1,448	\$10,902,551	\$7,527	(\$329,237)	(\$227)
18	BACA	WALSH	141	\$1,604,368	\$11,411	146	\$1,778,180	\$12,196	146	\$1,843,925	\$12,647	\$65,745	\$451
19	BACA	PRITCHETT	56	\$746,514	\$13,260	58	\$800,211	\$13,797	58	\$858,053	\$14,794	\$57,841	\$997
20	BACA	SPRINGFIELD	270	\$2,399,902	\$8,898	289	\$2,997,716	\$10,358	289	\$2,789,822	\$9,640	(\$207,893)	(\$718)
21	BACA	VILAS	213	\$1,615,165	\$7,586	215	\$1,735,544	\$8,084	215	\$1,825,730	\$8,504	\$90,186	\$420
22	BACA	CAMPO	50	\$657,905	\$13,158	48	\$672,839	\$14,106	48	\$706,821	\$14,818	\$33,982	\$712
23	BENT	LAS ANIMAS	509	\$3,620,046	\$7,108	536	\$4,770,034	\$8,896	536	\$4,265,421	\$7,955	(\$504,614)	(\$941)
24	BENT	MCCLAVE	262	\$2,328,648	\$8,885	269	\$2,718,786	\$10,111	269	\$2,629,893	\$9,780	(\$88,892)	(\$331)
25	BOULDER	ST VRAIN	27,957	\$181,890,830	\$6,506	29,137	\$207,191,395	\$7,111	29,137	\$212,120,922	\$7,280	\$4,929,527	\$169
26	BOULDER	BOULDER	28,856	\$188,952,134	\$6,548	29,920	\$209,863,737	\$7,014	29,920	\$219,385,193	\$7,332	\$9,521,456	\$318
27	CHAFFEE	BUENA VISTA	918	\$6,293,879	\$6,854	942	\$6,714,697	\$7,129	942	\$7,195,467	\$7,639	\$480,770	\$510
28	CHAFFEE	SALIDA	1,098	\$7,241,195	\$6,593	1,162	\$8,281,241	\$7,128	1,162	\$8,540,122	\$7,351	\$258,881	\$223
29	CHEYENNE	KIT CARSON	110	\$1,301,448	\$11,821	111	\$1,331,990	\$11,978	111	\$1,469,408	\$13,214	\$137,417	\$1,236
30	CHEYENNE	CHEYENNE	173	\$1,916,169	\$11,063	181	\$1,961,123	\$10,817	181	\$2,208,102	\$12,179	\$246,979	\$1,362
31	CLEAR CREEK	CLEAR CREEK	894	\$7,395,625	\$8,269	947	\$7,116,169	\$7,511	947	\$7,751,340	\$8,182	\$635,171	\$670
32	CONEJOS	NORTH CONEJOS	1,033	\$6,795,420	\$6,579	1,092	\$8,588,828	\$7,865	1,092	\$7,999,634	\$7,326	(\$589,194)	(\$540)
33	CONEJOS	SANFORD	344	\$2,806,152	\$8,162	369	\$3,501,910	\$9,485	369	\$3,265,752	\$8,845	(\$236,157)	(\$640)
34	CONEJOS	SOUTH CONEJOS	231	\$2,330,393	\$10,106	235	\$2,774,506	\$11,786	235	\$2,633,459	\$11,187	(\$141,047)	(\$599)
35	COSTILLA	CENTENNIAL	207	\$2,197,122	\$10,624	213	\$2,663,085	\$12,509	213	\$2,496,112	\$11,724	(\$166,973)	(\$784)
36	COSTILLA	SIERRA GRANDE	267	\$2,515,791	\$9,433	282	\$3,180,689	\$11,279	282	\$2,881,566	\$10,218	(\$299,123)	(\$1,061)
37	CROWLEY	CROWLEY	469	\$3,395,068	\$7,240	486	\$4,005,605	\$8,249	486	\$3,939,305	\$8,112	(\$66,300)	(\$137)
38	CUSTER	WESTCLIFFE	408	\$3,163,456	\$7,752	418	\$3,638,531	\$8,705	418	\$3,581,498	\$8,568	(\$57,033)	(\$136)
39	DELTA	DELTA	5,057	\$31,983,411	\$6,325	5,337	\$38,128,225	\$7,144	5,337	\$37,743,156	\$7,072	(\$385,069)	(\$72)
40	DENVER	DENVER	79,667	\$561,265,400	\$7,045	85,230	\$693,022,847	\$8,131	85,230	\$668,199,400	\$7,840	(\$24,823,448)	(\$291)
41	DOLORES	DOLORES	267	\$2,496,446	\$9,361	280	\$2,794,636	\$9,977	280	\$2,855,244	\$10,194	\$60,609	\$216
42	DOUGLAS	DOUGLAS	62,501	\$399,275,106	\$6,388	64,482	\$451,025,520	\$6,995	64,482	\$461,359,000	\$7,155	\$10,333,480	\$160

Comparison of SB13-213 and Existing School Finance Formula with Hypothetical Increase in Funding of \$915.3 Million, Incorporated via Higher Pupil Count and Reduced Negative

(Note: If SB13-213 was fully implemented in FY 2013-14, it was estimated to increase state funding by \$915.3 million, as reflected in the final fiscal note and Appendix B, Table 3B)

		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)
		Current Law FY 2013-14			SB13-213 Funding (Assuming full implementation in FY 2013-14 and no additional local support)			FY 2013-14 with Hypothetical \$915 Million Additional Funding to Existing Formula, Including 37,014 New Students			Difference in Funding Between Hypothetical Increase of \$915 Million vs SB13-213	
County	District	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program Current Law	Total Funding Per Pupil	Funded Pupil Count	Total Funding Assuming No New Local Support*	Total Funding Per Pupil	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program with \$915 million new funding	Total Funding Per Pupil	TOTAL FUNDING: Existing formula with \$915 million increase vs. SB13-213 [(h) -(e)]	PER PUPIL FUNDING: Existing formula with \$915 million increase vs SB13-213 [(i) - (f)]
43	EAGLE	6,346	\$43,850,213	\$6,909	6,658	\$48,560,736	\$7,293	6,658	\$51,463,240	\$7,729	\$2,902,504	\$436
44	ELBERT	2,532	\$16,492,462	\$6,513	2,620	\$18,379,269	\$7,016	2,620	\$19,083,675	\$7,284	\$704,406	\$269
45	ELBERT	355	\$3,058,441	\$8,627	368	\$3,216,915	\$8,737	368	\$3,497,487	\$9,499	\$280,571	\$762
46	ELBERT	299	\$2,719,841	\$9,103	310	\$3,036,080	\$9,810	310	\$3,115,738	\$10,067	\$79,657	\$257
47	ELBERT	204	\$2,238,217	\$10,999	208	\$2,324,132	\$11,163	208	\$2,538,818	\$12,194	\$214,686	\$1,031
48	ELBERT	50	\$712,628	\$14,253	37	\$725,103	\$19,597	37	\$611,090	\$16,516	(\$114,013)	(\$3,081)
49	EL PASO	562	\$4,210,987	\$7,497	578	\$4,778,082	\$8,264	578	\$4,836,659	\$8,365	\$58,576	\$101
50	EL PASO	10,443	\$70,166,456	\$6,719	11,156	\$81,987,118	\$7,349	11,156	\$83,515,419	\$7,486	\$1,528,300	\$137
51	EL PASO	8,726	\$55,067,984	\$6,311	9,137	\$65,269,986	\$7,144	9,137	\$64,406,883	\$7,049	(\$863,103)	(\$94)
52	EL PASO	7,497	\$47,313,094	\$6,311	7,895	\$56,523,456	\$7,159	7,895	\$55,656,446	\$7,049	(\$867,010)	(\$110)
53	EL PASO	30,323	\$197,522,473	\$6,514	31,381	\$225,460,005	\$7,185	31,381	\$228,571,170	\$7,284	\$3,111,165	\$99
54	EL PASO	4,384	\$27,668,517	\$6,311	4,528	\$31,765,071	\$7,016	4,528	\$31,916,576	\$7,049	\$151,505	\$33
55	EL PASO	1,430	\$9,600,489	\$6,714	1,467	\$10,505,686	\$7,163	1,467	\$11,006,566	\$7,504	\$500,880	\$342
56	EL PASO	23,119	\$145,899,683	\$6,311	23,867	\$167,433,500	\$7,015	23,867	\$168,238,413	\$7,049	\$804,913	\$34
57	EL PASO	954	\$6,788,490	\$7,114	1,022	\$8,380,210	\$8,202	1,022	\$8,010,519	\$7,840	(\$369,691)	(\$362)
58	EL PASO	637	\$4,609,796	\$7,242	647	\$4,986,259	\$7,708	647	\$5,238,047	\$8,097	\$251,788	\$389
59	EL PASO	223	\$2,394,198	\$10,741	234	\$2,448,890	\$10,465	234	\$2,740,238	\$11,710	\$291,348	\$1,245
60	EL PASO	5,870	\$37,045,557	\$6,311	6,040	\$42,376,113	\$7,016	6,040	\$42,578,228	\$7,049	\$202,115	\$33
61	EL PASO	14,804	\$93,315,996	\$6,304	15,431	\$108,060,487	\$7,003	15,431	\$108,663,005	\$7,042	\$602,518	\$39
62	EL PASO	170	\$1,902,488	\$11,198	177	\$2,176,734	\$12,284	177	\$2,191,390	\$12,367	\$14,656	\$83
63	EL PASO	278	\$2,581,634	\$9,276	287	\$2,915,488	\$10,176	287	\$2,946,811	\$10,286	\$31,323	\$109
64	FREMONT	3,736	\$23,577,027	\$6,311	3,965	\$28,855,617	\$7,278	3,965	\$27,948,417	\$7,049	(\$907,200)	(\$229)
65	FREMONT	1,583	\$10,185,029	\$6,433	1,640	\$11,761,649	\$7,173	1,640	\$11,765,634	\$7,175	\$3,985	\$2
66	FREMONT	213	\$2,206,170	\$10,382	225	\$2,593,517	\$11,552	225	\$2,538,659	\$11,308	(\$54,857)	(\$244)
67	GARFIELD	5,494	\$37,799,193	\$6,880	5,770	\$41,858,836	\$7,255	5,770	\$44,392,523	\$7,694	\$2,533,687	\$439
68	GARFIELD	4,583	\$29,335,776	\$6,401	4,806	\$34,398,333	\$7,157	4,806	\$34,400,072	\$7,157	\$1,739	\$0
69	GARFIELD	1,067	\$7,416,379	\$6,953	1,113	\$7,993,450	\$7,183	1,113	\$8,635,865	\$7,760	\$642,415	\$577
70	GILPIN	337	\$2,990,594	\$8,874	354	\$3,055,921	\$8,645	354	\$3,443,704	\$9,742	\$387,782	\$1,097
71	GRAND	437	\$3,515,604	\$8,047	462	\$3,884,186	\$8,402	462	\$3,982,099	\$8,614	\$97,914	\$212
72	GRAND	1,220	\$8,111,341	\$6,646	1,239	\$9,278,457	\$7,488	1,239	\$9,215,813	\$7,438	(\$62,645)	(\$51)
73	GUNNISON	1,745	\$11,557,270	\$6,623	1,837	\$12,889,848	\$7,016	1,837	\$13,555,730	\$7,378	\$665,882	\$362
74	HINSDALE	77	\$1,062,707	\$13,783	81	\$1,156,842	\$14,247	81	\$1,244,395	\$15,325	\$87,552	\$1,078
75	HUERFANO	521	\$3,761,101	\$7,220	538	\$4,741,884	\$8,811	538	\$4,353,791	\$8,090	(\$388,093)	(\$721)
76	HUERFANO	217	\$2,124,262	\$9,776	220	\$2,549,713	\$11,569	220	\$2,396,427	\$10,873	(\$153,286)	(\$695)
77	JACKSON	191	\$2,135,453	\$11,169	203	\$2,444,493	\$12,054	203	\$2,471,115	\$12,185	\$26,621	\$131
78	JEFFERSON	80,691	\$523,331,870	\$6,486	83,593	\$594,540,773	\$7,112	83,593	\$606,688,526	\$7,258	\$12,147,753	\$145
79	KIOWA	167	\$1,786,892	\$10,706	172	\$2,077,333	\$12,071	172	\$2,041,451	\$11,862	(\$35,882)	(\$208)
80	KIOWA	77	\$959,002	\$12,487	80	\$1,095,694	\$13,645	80	\$1,114,736	\$13,882	\$19,042	\$237
81	KIT CARSON	154	\$1,704,635	\$11,098	163	\$2,078,756	\$12,753	163	\$1,986,817	\$12,189	(\$91,939)	(\$564)
82	KIT CARSON	125	\$1,423,468	\$11,415	129	\$1,747,176	\$13,513	129	\$1,637,653	\$12,666	(\$109,524)	(\$847)
83	KIT CARSON	165	\$1,791,974	\$10,867	173	\$2,188,234	\$12,649	173	\$2,070,037	\$11,966	(\$118,197)	(\$683)
84	KIT CARSON	120	\$1,451,314	\$12,145	124	\$1,769,127	\$14,244	124	\$1,671,926	\$13,462	(\$97,201)	(\$783)
85	KIT CARSON	729	\$4,856,235	\$6,666	760	\$6,452,405	\$8,493	760	\$5,631,451	\$7,413	(\$820,954)	(\$1,081)

Comparison of SB13-213 and Existing School Finance Formula with Hypothetical Increase in Funding of \$915.3 Million, Incorporated via Higher Pupil Count and Reduced Negative

(Note: If SB13-213 was fully implemented in FY 2013-14, it was estimated to increase state funding by \$915.3 million, as reflected in the final fiscal note and Appendix B, Table 3B)

County	District	(a) Current Law FY 2013-14			(d) SB13-213 Funding (Assuming full implementation in FY 2013-14 and no additional local support)			(g) FY 2013-14 with Hypothetical \$915 Million Additional Funding to Existing Formula, Including 37,014 New Students			(j) Difference in Funding Between Hypothetical Increase of \$915 Million vs SB13-213	
		Funded Pupil Count	Total Program Current Law	Total Funding Per Pupil	Funded Pupil Count	Total Funding Assuming No New Local Support*	Total Funding Per Pupil	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program with \$915 million new funding	Total Funding Per Pupil	TOTAL FUNDING: Existing formula with \$915 million increase vs. SB13-213 [(h) -(e)]	PER PUPIL FUNDING: Existing formula with \$915 million increase vs SB13-213 [(i) - (f)]
86 LAKE	LAKE	1,076	\$7,632,606	\$7,093	1,151	\$10,083,951	\$8,758	1,151	\$9,070,241	\$7,878	(\$1,013,710)	(\$880)
87 LA PLATA	DURANGO	4,781	\$31,161,708	\$6,518	5,050	\$35,935,177	\$7,116	5,050	\$36,830,898	\$7,293	\$895,722	\$177
88 LA PLATA	BAYFIELD	1,326	\$9,097,810	\$6,863	1,381	\$9,835,134	\$7,121	1,381	\$10,581,831	\$7,662	\$746,697	\$541
89 LA PLATA	IGNACIO	742	\$5,521,152	\$7,443	766	\$5,647,913	\$7,372	766	\$6,350,929	\$8,290	\$703,016	\$918
90 LARIMER	POUDRE	27,780	\$175,165,129	\$6,305	28,970	\$204,012,613	\$7,042	28,970	\$204,059,085	\$7,044	\$46,472	\$2
91 LARIMER	THOMPSON	15,135	\$95,503,702	\$6,310	15,767	\$112,342,313	\$7,125	15,767	\$111,134,564	\$7,049	(\$1,207,748)	(\$77)
92 LARIMER	ESTES PARK	1,108	\$7,718,943	\$6,968	1,155	\$8,861,355	\$7,673	1,155	\$8,930,330	\$7,733	\$68,975	\$60
93 LAS ANIMAS	TRINIDAD	1,321	\$8,911,364	\$6,746	1,376	\$10,019,939	\$7,284	1,376	\$10,346,175	\$7,521	\$326,237	\$237
94 LAS ANIMAS	PRIMERO	189	\$2,019,969	\$10,676	193	\$2,066,244	\$10,684	193	\$2,291,905	\$11,851	\$225,661	\$1,167
95 LAS ANIMAS	HOEHNE	360	\$2,908,075	\$8,080	371	\$3,329,761	\$8,982	371	\$3,308,784	\$8,926	(\$20,977)	(\$57)
96 LAS ANIMAS	AGUILAR	91	\$1,167,888	\$12,834	96	\$1,179,660	\$12,275	96	\$1,364,787	\$14,202	\$185,127	\$1,926
97 LAS ANIMAS	BRANSON	432	\$2,683,152	\$6,205	434	\$2,870,481	\$6,608	434	\$3,019,881	\$6,952	\$149,400	\$344
98 LAS ANIMAS	KIM	53	\$667,296	\$12,662	55	\$683,925	\$12,549	55	\$769,816	\$14,125	\$85,891	\$1,576
99 LINCOLN	GENOA-HUGO	160	\$1,806,387	\$11,290	165	\$2,101,886	\$12,754	165	\$2,063,360	\$12,520	(\$38,526)	(\$234)
100 LINCOLN	LIMON	452	\$3,307,368	\$7,324	476	\$3,857,548	\$8,109	476	\$3,846,262	\$8,085	(\$11,286)	(\$24)
101 LINCOLN	KARVAL	128	\$985,144	\$7,696	129	\$1,053,826	\$8,157	129	\$1,117,756	\$8,651	\$63,930	\$495
102 LOGAN	VALLEY	2,234	\$14,173,461	\$6,343	2,306	\$17,077,732	\$7,407	2,306	\$16,308,586	\$7,074	(\$769,146)	(\$334)
103 LOGAN	FRENCHMAN	183	\$1,960,955	\$10,727	189	\$2,289,301	\$12,138	189	\$2,238,945	\$11,871	(\$50,356)	(\$267)
104 LOGAN	BUFFALO	317	\$2,723,236	\$8,602	331	\$3,150,397	\$9,526	331	\$3,132,294	\$9,472	(\$18,103)	(\$55)
105 LOGAN	PLATEAU	182	\$1,989,878	\$10,939	186	\$2,034,968	\$10,917	186	\$2,262,230	\$12,136	\$227,262	\$1,219
106 MESA	DEBEQUE	115	\$1,657,780	\$14,453	122	\$1,667,269	\$13,711	122	\$1,667,950	\$13,717	\$681	\$6
107 MESA	PLATEAU VALLEY	491	\$3,517,352	\$7,169	515	\$3,971,402	\$7,716	515	\$4,111,571	\$7,988	\$140,169	\$272
108 MESA	MESA VALLEY	21,616	\$136,421,918	\$6,311	22,469	\$160,418,299	\$7,140	22,469	\$158,392,828	\$7,049	(\$2,025,471)	(\$90)
109 MINERAL	CREEDE	86	\$1,134,731	\$13,241	89	\$1,292,804	\$14,510	89	\$1,312,504	\$14,731	\$19,700	\$221
110 MOFFAT	MOFFAT	2,185	\$13,787,241	\$6,311	2,266	\$16,664,621	\$7,353	2,266	\$15,976,264	\$7,049	(\$688,357)	(\$304)
111 MONTEZUMA	MONTEZUMA	2,719	\$17,205,122	\$6,328	2,785	\$20,967,862	\$7,529	2,785	\$19,696,629	\$7,073	(\$1,271,233)	(\$456)
112 MONTEZUMA	DOLORES	712	\$4,996,085	\$7,013	739	\$5,669,025	\$7,672	739	\$5,771,355	\$7,811	\$102,331	\$138
113 MONTEZUMA	MANCOS	384	\$3,119,594	\$8,135	406	\$3,306,745	\$8,139	406	\$3,588,746	\$8,833	\$282,001	\$694
114 MONTROSE	MONTROSE	5,915	\$38,976,899	\$6,590	5,998	\$43,050,914	\$7,178	5,998	\$44,247,795	\$7,377	\$1,196,882	\$200
115 MONTROSE	WEST END	305	\$2,885,867	\$9,453	325	\$3,067,916	\$9,437	325	\$3,359,404	\$10,333	\$291,487	\$897
116 MORGAN	BRUSH	1,481	\$10,068,073	\$6,797	1,565	\$12,345,620	\$7,891	1,565	\$11,831,882	\$7,563	(\$513,738)	(\$328)
117 MORGAN	FT. MORGAN	2,969	\$19,720,533	\$6,643	3,111	\$25,053,279	\$8,052	3,111	\$23,031,685	\$7,402	(\$2,021,595)	(\$650)
118 MORGAN	WELDON	205	\$2,216,491	\$10,791	218	\$2,485,729	\$11,392	218	\$2,562,512	\$11,744	\$76,783	\$352
119 MORGAN	WIGGINS	492	\$3,673,162	\$7,463	526	\$4,554,890	\$8,659	526	\$4,358,371	\$8,286	(\$196,519)	(\$374)
120 OTERO	EAST OTERO	1,317	\$9,119,876	\$6,924	1,401	\$11,382,262	\$8,123	1,401	\$10,763,165	\$7,681	(\$619,097)	(\$442)
121 OTERO	ROCKY FORD	813	\$5,843,579	\$7,187	865	\$7,501,196	\$8,673	865	\$6,861,857	\$7,934	(\$639,339)	(\$739)
122 OTERO	MANZANOLA	151	\$1,796,379	\$11,904	151	\$2,040,250	\$13,503	151	\$2,014,250	\$13,331	(\$26,000)	(\$172)
123 OTERO	FOWLER	409	\$3,129,401	\$7,651	426	\$3,748,170	\$8,803	426	\$3,566,365	\$8,376	(\$181,804)	(\$427)
124 OTERO	CHERAW	206	\$2,162,908	\$10,494	219	\$2,582,554	\$11,792	219	\$2,498,740	\$11,410	(\$83,814)	(\$383)
125 OTERO	SWINK	352	\$2,907,626	\$8,263	352	\$3,278,092	\$9,305	352	\$3,259,435	\$9,252	(\$18,657)	(\$53)
126 OURAY	OURAY	188	\$2,285,629	\$12,177	193	\$2,331,791	\$12,113	193	\$2,599,037	\$13,501	\$267,246	\$1,388
127 OURAY	RIDGWAY	327	\$3,049,612	\$9,340	333	\$3,113,275	\$9,344	333	\$3,458,118	\$10,379	\$344,844	\$1,035
128 PARK	PLATTE CANYON	1,046	\$7,291,172	\$6,973	1,060	\$7,450,579	\$7,031	1,060	\$8,270,092	\$7,804	\$819,513	\$773

Comparison of SB13-213 and Existing School Finance Formula with Hypothetical Increase in Funding of \$915.3 Million, Incorporated via Higher Pupil Count and Reduced Negative

(Note: If SB13-213 was fully implemented in FY 2013-14, it was estimated to increase state funding by \$915.3 million, as reflected in the final fiscal note and Appendix B, Table 3B)

		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)
		Current Law FY 2013-14			SB13-213 Funding (Assuming full implementation in FY 2013-14 and no additional local support)			FY 2013-14 with Hypothetical \$915 Million Additional Funding to Existing Formula, Including 37,014 New Students			Difference in Funding Between Hypothetical Increase of \$915 Million vs SB13-213	
County	District	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program Current Law	Total Funding Per Pupil	Funded Pupil Count	Total Funding Assuming No New Local Support*	Total Funding Per Pupil	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program with \$915 million new funding	Total Funding Per Pupil	Total Funding Existing formula with \$915 million increase vs. SB13-213 [(h) - (e)]	PER PUPIL FUNDING: Existing formula with \$915 million increase vs SB13-213 [(i) - (f)]
129	PARK	520	\$4,199,422	\$8,071	564	\$4,634,705	\$8,223	564	\$4,719,981	\$8,375	\$85,277	\$151
130	PHILLIPS	590	\$4,114,565	\$6,980	623	\$5,302,157	\$8,512	623	\$4,832,212	\$7,758	(\$469,945)	(\$754)
131	PHILLIPS	291	\$2,437,472	\$8,373	309	\$3,012,232	\$9,758	309	\$2,834,421	\$9,182	(\$177,812)	(\$576)
132	PITKIN	1,686	\$14,475,161	\$8,587	1,758	\$14,723,125	\$8,376	1,758	\$16,846,317	\$9,584	\$2,123,192	\$1,208
133	PROWERS	215	\$2,146,471	\$9,970	216	\$2,553,032	\$11,842	216	\$2,406,449	\$11,162	(\$146,583)	(\$680)
134	PROWERS	1,574	\$10,406,932	\$6,611	1,662	\$12,686,843	\$7,633	1,662	\$12,210,094	\$7,346	(\$476,749)	(\$287)
135	PROWERS	278	\$2,382,836	\$8,565	297	\$3,196,369	\$10,773	297	\$2,778,617	\$9,365	(\$417,752)	(\$1,408)
136	PROWERS	232	\$2,207,451	\$9,507	243	\$2,681,266	\$11,020	243	\$2,523,686	\$10,373	(\$157,580)	(\$648)
137	PUEBLO	17,061	\$112,436,563	\$6,590	18,072	\$135,457,945	\$7,496	18,072	\$132,759,421	\$7,346	(\$2,698,523)	(\$149)
138	PUEBLO	8,743	\$55,177,363	\$6,311	9,103	\$64,955,079	\$7,136	9,103	\$64,168,003	\$7,049	(\$787,076)	(\$86)
139	RIO BLANCO	656	\$5,201,066	\$7,931	694	\$5,529,762	\$7,969	694	\$5,290,693	\$7,625	(\$239,068)	(\$345)
140	RIO BLANCO	461	\$3,205,773	\$6,951	489	\$3,428,032	\$7,016	489	\$3,782,317	\$7,741	\$354,285	\$725
141	RIO GRANDE	535	\$3,845,864	\$7,185	559	\$4,782,235	\$8,558	559	\$4,469,229	\$7,998	(\$313,006)	(\$560)
142	RIO GRANDE	1,105	\$7,492,191	\$6,783	1,139	\$9,433,136	\$8,283	1,139	\$8,619,223	\$7,569	(\$813,913)	(\$715)
143	RIO GRANDE	463	\$3,259,896	\$7,044	477	\$3,967,860	\$8,311	477	\$3,754,487	\$7,864	(\$213,373)	(\$447)
144	ROUTT	373	\$3,220,173	\$8,645	388	\$3,376,285	\$8,695	388	\$3,684,595	\$9,489	\$308,310	\$794
145	ROUTT	2,304	\$15,283,971	\$6,633	2,393	\$16,791,462	\$7,016	2,393	\$17,755,408	\$7,419	\$963,946	\$403
146	ROUTT	389	\$3,312,846	\$8,523	414	\$3,643,167	\$8,811	414	\$3,819,647	\$9,237	\$176,481	\$427
147	SAGUACHE	111	\$1,377,693	\$12,423	121	\$1,678,746	\$13,862	121	\$1,646,431	\$13,596	(\$32,316)	(\$267)
148	SAGUACHE	197	\$2,323,266	\$11,817	207	\$2,735,170	\$13,194	207	\$2,682,224	\$12,939	(\$52,946)	(\$255)
149	SAGUACHE	583	\$4,385,548	\$7,524	619	\$6,146,964	\$9,937	619	\$5,206,595	\$8,417	(\$940,370)	(\$1,520)
150	SAN JUAN	67	\$948,931	\$14,227	74	\$1,123,881	\$15,270	74	\$1,153,651	\$15,675	\$29,770	\$404
151	SAN MIGUEL	838	\$7,493,334	\$8,940	883	\$7,629,521	\$8,645	883	\$8,764,274	\$9,931	\$1,134,754	\$1,286
152	SAN MIGUEL	260	\$2,614,301	\$10,059	278	\$2,671,919	\$9,608	278	\$3,000,514	\$10,789	\$328,595	\$1,182
153	SEDGWICK	1,078	\$6,929,511	\$6,427	1,095	\$7,587,158	\$6,927	1,095	\$7,879,743	\$7,194	\$292,585	\$267
154	SEDGWICK	128	\$1,553,912	\$12,149	136	\$1,837,133	\$13,479	136	\$1,820,871	\$13,359	(\$16,262)	(\$119)
155	SUMMIT	2,990	\$20,677,113	\$6,914	3,159	\$23,335,397	\$7,387	3,159	\$24,382,251	\$7,718	\$1,046,854	\$331
156	TELLER	368	\$3,428,173	\$9,323	381	\$3,519,496	\$9,250	381	\$3,441,235	\$9,044	(\$78,261)	(\$206)
157	TELLER	2,534	\$16,097,135	\$6,354	2,572	\$18,264,914	\$7,102	2,572	\$18,290,253	\$7,112	\$25,338	\$10
158	WASHINGTON	349	\$2,871,923	\$8,236	354	\$3,330,405	\$9,403	354	\$3,245,605	\$9,163	(\$84,800)	(\$239)
159	WASHINGTON	101	\$1,264,314	\$12,543	105	\$1,294,164	\$12,279	105	\$1,467,160	\$13,920	\$172,996	\$1,641
160	WASHINGTON	184	\$2,011,314	\$10,931	192	\$2,325,897	\$12,108	192	\$2,311,067	\$12,031	(\$14,830)	(\$77)
161	WASHINGTON	114	\$1,417,391	\$12,444	118	\$1,631,103	\$13,846	118	\$1,629,561	\$13,833	(\$1,543)	(\$13)
162	WASHINGTON	88	\$1,117,867	\$12,689	91	\$1,239,000	\$13,676	91	\$1,281,393	\$14,143	\$42,392	\$468
163	WELD	1,804	\$12,038,260	\$6,675	1,868	\$14,694,522	\$7,868	1,868	\$13,890,222	\$7,437	(\$804,299)	(\$431)
164	WELD	1,817	\$11,645,018	\$6,408	1,913	\$14,045,314	\$7,343	1,913	\$13,645,323	\$7,134	(\$399,991)	(\$209)
165	WELD	2,147	\$13,940,350	\$6,493	2,265	\$16,242,479	\$7,170	2,265	\$16,337,671	\$7,212	\$95,193	\$42
166	WELD	4,658	\$29,394,604	\$6,311	4,846	\$34,001,781	\$7,016	4,846	\$34,163,954	\$7,049	\$162,174	\$33
167	WELD	3,283	\$20,716,834	\$6,311	3,450	\$24,549,655	\$7,115	3,450	\$24,323,568	\$7,049	(\$226,087)	(\$66)
168	WELD	19,528	\$126,807,308	\$6,494	20,558	\$154,694,983	\$7,525	20,558	\$149,038,946	\$7,250	(\$5,656,036)	(\$275)
169	WELD	1,161	\$7,727,564	\$6,655	1,217	\$9,193,348	\$7,555	1,217	\$9,035,922	\$7,425	(\$157,426)	(\$129)
170	WELD	2,238	\$15,320,124	\$6,844	2,362	\$18,431,858	\$7,804	2,362	\$17,964,155	\$7,606	(\$467,703)	(\$198)
171	WELD	798	\$5,612,714	\$7,035	817	\$6,068,564	\$7,430	817	\$6,409,430	\$7,847	\$340,866	\$417

Comparison of SB13-213 and Existing School Finance Formula with Hypothetical Increase in Funding of \$915.3 Million, Incorporated via Higher Pupil Count and Reduced Negative

(Note: If SB13-213 was fully implemented in FY 2013-14, it was estimated to increase state funding by \$915.3 million, as reflected in the final fiscal note and Appendix B, Table 3B)

		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)
		Current Law FY 2013-14			SB13-213 Funding (Assuming full implementation in FY 2013-14 and no additional local support)			FY 2013-14 with Hypothetical \$915 Million Additional Funding to Existing Formula, Including 37,014 New Students			Difference in Funding Between Hypothetical Increase of \$915 Million vs SB13-213	
County	District	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program Current Law	Total Funding Per Pupil	Funded Pupil Count	Total Funding Assuming No New Local Support*	Total Funding Per Pupil	Funded Pupil Count	Total Program with \$915 million new funding	Total Funding Per Pupil	TOTAL FUNDING: Existing formula with \$915 million increase vs. SB13-213 [(h) - (e)]	PER PUPIL FUNDING: Existing formula with \$915 million increase vs SB13-213 [(i) - (f)]
172 WELD	BRIGGS DALE	151	\$1,765,276	\$11,691	156	\$1,805,241	\$11,587	156	\$2,020,305	\$12,967	\$215,064	\$1,380
173 WELD	PRAIRIE	174	\$1,913,520	\$10,991	183	\$1,957,428	\$10,702	183	\$2,211,998	\$12,094	\$254,570	\$1,392
174 WELD	PAWNEE	84	\$1,291,937	\$15,472	87	\$1,231,616	\$14,222	87	\$1,331,621	\$15,377	\$100,005	\$1,155
175 YUMA	YUMA 1	773	\$5,740,560	\$7,430	797	\$7,129,359	\$8,944	797	\$6,592,033	\$8,270	(\$537,326)	(\$674)
176 YUMA	WRAY RD-2	702	\$4,946,071	\$7,050	742	\$5,624,582	\$7,585	742	\$5,801,009	\$7,823	\$176,427	\$238
177 YUMA	IDALIA RJ-3	150	\$1,787,720	\$11,886	159	\$2,020,812	\$12,750	159	\$2,073,855	\$13,084	\$53,043	\$335
178 YUMA	LIBERTY J-4	84	\$1,134,494	\$13,474	89	\$1,161,810	\$13,054	89	\$1,331,107	\$14,956	\$169,297	\$1,902
STATE	TOTAL	828,045	\$5,508,386,124	\$6,652	865,059	\$6,423,719,630	\$7,426	865,059	\$6,423,719,630	\$7,426	\$0	\$0

* Includes other funding provided by SB13-213, such as hold-harmless, teaching and leadership investment, and supplemental per pupil and at-risk funding. CSI schools are included in the accounting district totals for Adams 12, Commerce City, Brighton, Calhan, Eagle, Westminster, Colorado Springs, Durango, Roaring Fork, Poudre, Mesa, and Pueblo.